



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Error to Circuit Court, Dinwiddie County.

Action by Voclav Mach, Sr., and others, against the Norfolk & Western Railway Company. Judgment for plaintiffs, and defendants bring error. Affirmed.

*J. M. Townsend*, of Petersburg, *W. B. McIlwaine*, of Chicago, Ill., and *Theo. W. Reath*, of Philadelphia, Pa., for plaintiff in error.

*Lassiter & Drewry*, of Petersburg, for defendants in error.

---

COX *v.* HEUSEMAN.

Jan. 16, 1919.

[97 S. E. 778.]

**1. Arbitration and Award (§ 88\*)—Parol Evidence.**—Where an award involving a boundary dispute was written and signed and its validity was not attacked, parol evidence was inadmissible to show what the award and arbitration proceedings included.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 707.]

**2. Boundaries (§ 48 (6)\*)—Recognition and Acquiescence.**—Acquiescence or verbal acknowledgment, or agreement as to location of a disputed boundary, cannot, proprio vigore, pass title from one to another, but such agreements are merely evidence of boundary location, or operate by way of estoppel.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 2 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 595, 603.]

**3. Boundaries (§ 48 (1)\*)—Agreement.**—No mere parol agreement to establish a boundary, and thus exclude from the operation of a deed land embraced therein, can divest, change, or affect the legal rights of the parties growing out of the deed itself.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 2 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 595.]

Error to Circuit Court, of Goochland County.

Statutory proceeding by W. H. Cox against Henry Heuseman, to establish a boundary between the parties' lands. Verdict and judgment for defendant, and plaintiff brings error. Reversed.

*Smith & Smith*, of Richmond, for plaintiff in error.

*Wm. Justis, Jr.*, of Richmond, for defendant in error.

---

\*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.